

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/09
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):*		
					Group	C	Overall
Program Progress:			PJs in State: <div>92</div>				
% of Funds Committed	<div>97.30</div> %	<div>96.14</div> %	<div>35</div>	<div>96.45</div> %	<div>54</div>	<div>57</div>	
% of Funds Disbursed	<div>92.04</div> %	<div>88.33</div> %	<div>25</div>	<div>87.93</div> %	<div>58</div>	<div>61</div>	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	<div>2.11</div>	<div>5.75</div>	<div>66</div>	<div>4.73</div>	<div>34</div>	<div>28</div>	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	<div>100.00</div> %	<div>77.35</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>83.38</div> %	<div>100</div>	<div>100</div>	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	<div>100.00</div> %	<div>70.06</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>70.15</div> %	<div>99</div>	<div>100</div>	
Low-Income Benefit:							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	<div>89.23</div> %	<div>78.42</div> %	<div>31</div>	<div>80.67</div> %	<div>60</div>	<div>66</div>	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	<div>58.46</div> %	<div>39.86</div> %	<div>20</div>	<div>45.30</div> %	<div>69</div>	<div>74</div>	
Lease-Up:							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	<div>92.31</div> %	<div>92.91</div> %	<div>68</div>	<div>95.32</div> %	<div>23</div>	<div>21</div>	
Overall Ranking:			In State: <div>10</div> / <div>92</div>		Nationally: <div>81</div> <div>83</div>		
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:							
Rental Unit	<div>\$54,789</div>	<div>\$34,639</div>		<div>\$26,635</div>	<div>65</div> Units	<div>16.30</div> %	
Homebuyer Unit	<div>\$142,782</div>	<div>\$20,710</div>		<div>\$14,938</div>	<div>3</div> Units	<div>0.80</div> %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	<div>\$15,917</div>	<div>\$27,349</div>		<div>\$20,675</div>	<div>316</div> Units	<div>79.20</div> %	
TBRA Unit	<div>\$10,507</div>	<div>\$2,736</div>		<div>\$3,216</div>	<div>15</div> Units	<div>3.80</div> %	

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (295 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Costa Mesa CA

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

	Rental	Homebuyer	Homeowner
PJ:	\$158,094	\$254,284	\$16,078
State:*	\$134,233	\$113,853	\$28,349
National:**	\$95,185	\$74,993	\$23,434

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 0.0 %
National Avg: 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.06

	Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %		Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %
RACE:					HOUSEHOLD TYPE:				
White:	62.3	0.0	91.4	0.0	Single/Non-Elderly:	4.9	0.0	18.8	0.0
Black/African American:	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	Elderly:	57.4	0.0	61.7	0.0
Asian:	3.3	0.0	0.6	0.0	Related/Single Parent:	6.6	0.0	5.8	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native:	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	Related/Two Parent:	23.0	100.0	9.9	0.0
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Other:	8.2	0.0	3.8	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native and White:	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0					
Asian and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Black/African American and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
American Indian/Alaska Native and Black:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Other Multi Racial:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Asian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0					
ETHNICITY:									
Hispanic	34.4	33.3	6.7	0.0					
HOUSEHOLD SIZE:					SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:				
1 Person:	57.4	0.0	56.9	0.0	Section 8:	55.7	0.0 [#]		
2 Persons:	4.9	0.0	26.2	0.0	HOME TBRA:	0.0			
3 Persons:	13.1	0.0	6.4	0.0	Other:	0.0			
4 Persons:	13.1	66.7	6.4	0.0	No Assistance:	44.3			
5 Persons:	4.9	0.0	2.9	0.0					
6 Persons:	6.6	0.0	1.3	0.0					
7 Persons:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
8 or more Persons:	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0					
					# of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001				4

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Costa Mesa State: CA Group Rank: 81
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 10 / 92 PJs Overall Rank: 83
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

FACTOR	DESCRIPTION	THRESHOLD*	PJ RESULTS	RED FLAG
4	% OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS	< 76.20%	100	
5	% OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS	< 50.90%	100	
6	% OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME	< 70%**	89.23	
8	% OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS	< 90.88%	92.31	
"ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED***		> 2.550	1.21	

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

